Multifocal Juvenile Osteochondritis Dissecans of the Knee: A Case Series
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Purpose: This retrospective case series reports on a group of patients with multifocal juvenile osteochondritis dissecans (MJOCD) of the knee and discusses demographic data, lesion location, stage, and treatment results.

Methods: Records of patients identified with MJOCD of the knee at a single institution were retrospectively reviewed. Demographic, radiographic, and surgical results were recorded. Lesions were descriptively classified and lesions undergoing surgical treatment were staged. Results of operative and nonoperative treatment were recorded.

Results: Fifty-nine lesions were identified in 28 patients who met the inclusion criteria. There were 22 males (78%) and 6 females (21%). Average age was 11.8 years (males, 6 to 17; females, 10 to 14). Thirty-six (61%) lesions were on the medial femoral condyle (MFC), 19 (32%) on the lateral femoral condyle, 2 (3%) on the trochlea, 1 (2%) on the patella, and 1 (2%) on the anteromedial tibial plateau. Forty-four (74%) lesions required operative treatment. Of the 32 stable lesions managed surgically, 25 (78%) achieved healing with operative treatment. All 12 unstable lesions identified were managed surgically with 5 (41%) healed after the initial operation. Lesions located on the MFC had a significantly higher rate of healing (89%) compared with lateral femoral condyle lesions (37%) (P < 0.0001).

Conclusions: MJOCD of the knee defines a subset of patients with >1 identified lesion occurring in the same or the contralateral knee. Prevalence of MJOCD of the knee is unknown. A high percentage of these patients require surgical intervention with only one quarter of stable lesions healing with conservative treatment. Healing rates of stable lesions after surgery was nearly twice that of unstable lesions undergoing surgical intervention. Lesions located on the MFC healed at a statistically significant greater rate than other locations within the knee. Sex, age, and associated discoid meniscus had no effect on healing prognosis.

Level of Evidence: Level IV—case series.
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Osteochondritis dissecans (OCD) is an acquired, potentially reversible cause of knee pain among skeletally immature patients. The etiology is uncertain, with inflammation, ischemia, microtrauma, and genetics as hypothesized causes.1-4 The condition affects subchondral bone, with secondary effects on the overlying articular cartilage.2,5,6 Classification of knee OCD can be based on patient age, location, radiographic and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings, and intraoperative appearance. Distinguishing juvenile from adult forms based on distal femoral physis maturity carries significant prognostic implications, with better prognosis and healing potential in younger patients with open growth plates.2,7,10 Juvenile OCD (JOCD) is being diagnosed at an increasing rate, likely because of greater detection ability and increased participation in competitive sports at younger ages.10-12 Exact prevalence of JOCD is unknown, with reports of between 15 and 29 cases per 100,000.13,14 The highest rates are among patients aged between 10 and 15 years, with a male-to-female ratio of approximately 2:1.3

The “classic” knee OCD lesion is seen in the posteroslateral aspect of the medial femoral condyle (MFC) and is involved in the majority of cases (70% to 80%).1,5,15 Other locations reported include the lateral femoral condyle (LFC) (15% to 20%), patella (5% to 10%), and femoral trochlea (1%).1,5,15 Multifocal juvenile osteochondritis dissecans (MJOCD) defines a unique subset of patients with >1 lesion occurring in the same or the contralateral knee (Fig. 1A). This entity is poorly documented in the literature. Most case series of knee JOCD report bilateral knee lesions in approximately 15% to 30% of cases, however, the exact prevalence of multiple lesions in a single knee has never been reported.3,8,11 The purpose of this retrospective case series is to report on 29 patients with MJOCD of the knee and discuss their demographic data, lesion location, stage, and treatment results.

METHODS

Following Institutional Review Board approval, records of patients identified with MJOCD of the knee between August 2004 and February 2011 at a single institution were retrospectively reviewed. Inclusion criteria
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included any skeletally immature patient with > 1 OCD lesion in a single knee or both knees as seen on MRI. All lesions were initially individually evaluated with anteroposterior, lateral, Merchant, and tunnel radiographs. The descriptive classification developed by Cahill and Berg was used to define lesion location (Fig. 2). Lesions located in anteroposterior (Fig. 2A) areas A and B were considered LFC lesions, those in areas D and E were considered MFC lesions, and those in area C were considered trochlear lesions. From a lateral view (Fig. 2B), lesions in the A region were considered non-weight-bearing (NWB), and lesions in the B and C regions were considered weight-bearing (WB). An MRI was also obtained on all patients to evaluate the status of the cartilage and bone, and the presence of a retrograde, high-signal zone. “Stable” lesions were defined according to Dipaola et al as lesions with either no break in articular cartilage (grade 1) or a nondisplaced cartilage breach/fracture with a low-signal rim behind the fragment indicating fibrous attachment (grade 2). High-signal T2 changes behind the fragment (grade 3) and loose bodies (grade 4) were defined as “unstable.”

All “stable” lesions were initially treated with nonoperative management, consisting of a 6-week period of crutch-protected, toe-touch WB, and activity restriction. If the patient was pain free at the end of this period with no interval worsening on repeat radiographs, WB was advanced. Low-impact activities such as swimming and biking were allowed, but running, jumping, and cutting activities were prohibited for a minimum of 4 to 6 months. Asymptomatic patients with radiographs demonstrating disappearance of the radiolucent zone of the OCD at 4- to 6-month follow-up were cleared to return to sport.

Operative treatment was performed on patients unresponsive to nonoperative management and in those with detached or “unstable” lesions. In patients who had both stable and unstable lesions concomitantly in an ipsilateral knee, surgical management was based on arthroscopic findings on an individualized basis. Standard surgical interventions were performed by the senior author: (1) retrograde, in situ drilling for lesions with intact articular cartilage; (2) retrograde, in situ drilling with screw fixation for unstable lesions with subchondral bone attached and an anatomic match between the deficit and fragment; (3) microfracture for large unsalvageable fragments; (4) and autologous chondrocyte implantation (Carticel, Genzyme Biosurgery, Cambridge MA) or osteochondral allograft for salvage of full-thickness defects that failed initial surgical intervention.

A minimum of 12-month follow-up from initial presentation was required for inclusion. To be considered “healed,” patients must have been asymptomatic, returned to sport without restrictions, and demonstrated the disappearance of the radiolucent zone or union of the displaced fragment on follow-up plain films (Fig. 1B). Lesions that were treated with microfracture techniques and met the above criteria were considered healed acknowledging that the native hyaline cartilage was reconstituted with fibrocartilage. Patients with continued symptoms, persistent evidence of a radiolucent zone on plain films, or those requiring any further operative intervention were considered “failures.” Exclusion criteria included patients with closed physis, incomplete radiographic or clinical data, < 12-month follow-up from initial presentation, osteochondral fractures related to trauma, concomitant patellar dislocations, and associated ligamentous injury.
The Generalized Linear Model (GENMOD) procedure with repeated statement was used to test the effect of sex, age, lesion location, lesion grade, associated discoid anatomy, and treatment on outcome (healed vs. failed). Four distinct treatments: nonoperative, in situ drilling, screw fixation, and microfracture were included as independent predictors in each bivariate model. All tests were conducted in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), with $P < 0.05$ to be considered significant.

**RESULTS**

There were 34 consecutive patients with a total of 69 OCD lesions identified. Three patients (6 OCD lesions) were excluded because of $< 12$-month follow-up, 1 patient (2 OCD lesions) was excluded for incomplete radiographic data (outside MRI not available for review), and 1 patient (2 OCD lesions) for concomitant patellar dislocation. This resulted in a total of 28 patients and 59 OCD lesions. There were 22 males (47 OCD lesions) and 6 females (12 OCD lesions) included. Mean patient age was 11.8 (range, 6 to 17 y). Thirty-one lesions were located on the right knee (52.5%) and 28 were in the left knee (47.5%). Of the 28 patients included, 7 patients had multiple lesions unilaterally, with 21 patients having 1 lesion, whereas the remaining all had 2 lesions each. Thirty-six OCD lesions (61%) were located on the MFC, 19 on the LFC (32%), 2 on the trochlea (3.4%), 1 on the patella (2%), and 1 on the anteromedial tibia (2%). Table 1 shows the breakdown of treatment and healing rates on the basis of lesion location. Fifty-three lesions (90%) were located in the WB areas and 4 (7%) in the NWB area. A discoid meniscus was present with 10 lesions (90%) were located in the WB areas and 4 (7%) in the NWB area (Fig. 3). Twelve stable lesions (10 patients) healed following conservative management; therefore, 12 of 47 stable lesions (26%) were successfully managed nonoperatively. Thirty-two lesions (21 = grade 1; 11 = grade 2) initially classified as stable on MRI underwent surgical intervention after an unsuccessful conservative trial. Of those 32 lesions, 25 healed after surgical treatment (78.1%). The 7 failures included 2 with persistent radiographic evidence of a lesion, and 5 that required further surgical procedures. Table 1 shows healing rates for MFC and LFC lesions based on treatment.

On the basis of MRI assessment, there were 47 stable lesions (80%) and 12 unstable lesions (20%) (Fig. 3). Twelve stable lesions (10 patients) healed following conservative management; therefore, 12 of 47 stable lesions (26%) were successfully managed nonoperatively. Thirty-two lesions (21 = grade 1; 11 = grade 2) initially classified as stable on MRI underwent surgical intervention after an unsuccessful conservative trial. Of those 32 lesions, 25 healed after surgical treatment (78.1%). The 7 failures included 2 with persistent radiographic evidence of a lesion, and 5 that required further surgical procedures. Table 1 shows healing rates for MFC and LFC lesions based on treatment. Thirty-eight of 53 lesions in WB areas healed (72%) versus 2 of 4 lesions in the NWB area (50%). This difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$). Sex, age, or the presence of a discoid meniscus did not have a significant difference in the probability of healing. The presence of ipsilateral lesions was not an independent predictor of healing.

Both ipsilateral and bilateral OCD lesions have been characterized as “multifocal” in previous reports, and more commonly, a clear distinction between these findings is not made. Our review found 7 patients with $> 1$ lesion in an ipsilateral knee (14 total lesions). On the basis of MRI assessment, 12 of these were stable (86%) and 2 were unstable (14%). As was true with lesions in contralateral knees, all management decisions were made on individual lesion assessment. Four of the 12 stable lesions (33%) were successfully managed nonoperatively. Seven stable lesions failed conservative measures and required an operation, with 5 of 7 healed after surgery (71%). Two lesions were unstable at presentation. Both failed their initial operation and required further surgical intervention. The 2 high-grade, unstable lesions occurred on the LFC and were accompanied by a stable lesion on the ipsilateral MFC. Both MFC lesions were individually. In both patients, the stable MFC lesion healed after retrograde drilling.

**DISCUSSION**

The prevalence of multifocal juvenile osteochondritis dissecans of the knee is unknown. Bilateral knee involvement has been reported to exist in 13% to 30% of juvenile patients with OCD lesions, but outcome data and even lesion characteristics are lacking in these studies.2–4,8,11,21–28 The large, multicenter review of the

**TABLE 1. AP Location and Healing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral “B” = 7/19 Healed*</th>
<th>Medial “D” = 32/36 Healed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonsurgical = 3/6 healed</td>
<td>Nonsurgical = 7/7 healed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ drill = 2/5 healed</td>
<td>In situ drill = 17/17 healed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw = 0/2 healed</td>
<td>Screw = 6/7 healed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro fx = 2/6 healed</td>
<td>Micro fx = 2/5 healed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Healing rates between osteochondritis dissecans lesions located on the medial femoral condyle were significantly better than lesions on the lateral femoral condyle ($P < 0.0001$).
reported bilateral involvement in 13% of patients, but failed to mention specific lesion location in this subset of patients. The recently released AAOS Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteochondritis Dissecans reported an inability to find evidence to support or recommend obtaining contralateral knee radiographs. However, based on prior reports and our current case series, assessment of both knees is critical when evaluating a patient with suspected or confirmed OCD of the knee. Lesions are often in different phases of development and despite an asymptomatic presentation or examination, radiographic assessment of both the knees should be conducted.

The classic location of OCD lesions in the knee is the lateral aspect of the MFC, involved in 70% to 80% of cases. The LFC has been reported to be involved in 15% to 17% of cases, with patellar involvement (5% to 10%).

European Pediatric Orthopedic Society by Hefti et al reported bilateral involvement in 13% of patients, but failed to mention specific lesion location in this subset of patients. The recently released AAOS Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteochondritis Dissecans reported an inability to find evidence to support or recommend obtaining contralateral knee radiographs. However, based on prior reports and our current case series, assessment of both knees is critical when evaluating a patient with suspected or confirmed OCD of the knee. Lesions are often in different phases of development and despite an asymptomatic presentation or examination, radiographic assessment of both the knees should be conducted.

The classic location of OCD lesions in the knee is the lateral aspect of the MFC, involved in 70% to 80% of cases. The LFC has been reported to be involved in 15% to 17% of cases, with patellar involvement (5% to 10%).
Our case series had a higher rate of LFC lesions (32%) than most previous reports of juvenile OCD. The association between lesion location and prognosis is inconsistent in the literature. Hefi et al found a better prognosis in association with classic MFC lesions as compared with other sites. Others have found that non-medial femoral condylar lesions are associated with a better prognosis and that coronal plane location has no influence on healing. We found a statistically significant higher rate of healing for MFC lesions (89%) versus LFC lesions (37%) \( (P < 0.001) \). However, LFC lesions were more likely to be unstable than MFC lesions (32% vs. 14% respectively).

Aichroth et al showed as association between discoid lateral meniscus and the less common LFC lesion. Although LFC lesions were more likely to be associated with a discoid meniscus in the same compartment in our study population \( (P < 0.05) \), the presence of a discoid meniscus did not affect healing. This was true across all treatment types.

Surprisingly, our results demonstrated no significant effect of age on healing prognosis. Wall et al assessed healing potential of stable JOCD lesions and also found that age did not affect radiographic healing rates; however, the majority of literature reports younger age to be an independent predictor of healing rates, both in stable and unstable lesions. Nonoperative management is well described for JOCD lesions, and is often a reliable method for young patients with stable lesions. Linden reported excellent results with conservative management of JOCD lesions, and others have reported healing rates of nearly 95%. Others, however, demonstrated successfull healing rates of only 50% after a period of 6 to 18 months of nonoperative treatment. While all lesions in our study considered stable on initial MRI underwent a trial of nonoperative management, only 12 of the 47 lesions (25.5%) healed with conservative management. Although there was an obvious trend for stable lesions treated surgically to heal more reliably compared with unstable lesions treated surgically (25/32 vs. 5/12, respectively), this difference was not significant \( (P = 0.1374) \). Multiple lesions has been listed in prior studies as a factor associated with inadequate healing. But it is difficult to compare our population of all MJOCD with healing rates from studies consisting predominately of single-lesion patients. Further studies are needed to determine if our lower than expected healing rates after conservative management are a result of multifocal lesions. The implication that patients with MJOCD are less successful with nonoperative treatment could help guide treating physicians to intervene earlier when multiple OCD lesions are identified.

A major limitation of the present study is the heterogeneity of this pathology. Lesion size was not accurately documented for all patients in our study. Several authors have documented size parameters by which outcome is directly associated. Furthermore, other variables such as number of loose fragments, the presence and reparability of bone associated with each chondral fragment, and the quality of the underlying subchondral bone when visualized were not directly compared and are all important factors in determining outcomes. While the majority of patients had 1 lesion in each knee, there were 7 patients with ipsilateral lesions. For statistical purposes, each lesion was individually analyzed. While the healing rates of ipsilateral lesions were not statistically different from those of contralateral lesions in our study population, to assess each lesion independently may have ignored certain variables that effect outcome.

There is no current standard by which to evaluate or characterize “healing” of an OCD lesion. The works of Edmonds and others suggest that healing can be evaluated by serial radiography however, Parikh suggested that healing can be evaluated by plain films. MRI has become routine diagnostically given its accuracy of characterizing subchondral bone but is not feasible given its expense. Despite imprecise standards, plain films continue to be the imaging study of choice for follow-up and hence were used in combination with clinical symptoms to evaluate healing in our study.

An important distinction in our study was characterizing OCD lesions as stable or unstable, as this was central to the treatment algorithm. We followed strict adherence to the MRI criteria of Dipaola, which was shown in 1 study to have an 85% correlation with arthroscopic findings. A study from our institution, however, found agreement between MRI and arthroscopic grade to be only 62%. Certainly incorrectly characterizing any lesions would alter our results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

MJOCD of the knee defines a subset of patients with >1 OCD lesion occurring in the same or the contralateral knee. Prevalence of MJOCD of the knee remains unknown. Our study population demonstrated only one quarter of patients with stable lesions healed following conservative management. Healing rates of stable lesions after surgery was nearly twice that of unstable lesions undergoing surgical intervention, with approximately 80% and 40% healing rates, respectively. Lesions located on the MFC healed at a statistically significant greater rate than other locations within the knee. Sex, age, and associated discoid menisci had no effect on healing prognosis.
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